	Case 2:04-cv-02250-JAM-GGH Document 20 Filed 03/28/08 Page 1 of 2		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SACRAMENTO DIVISION		
11		1	
12	BERNARD RHODES,	CIV S-04-2250 RRB GGH P	
13	Petitioner,	ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENT'S REQUEST	
14	v.	RESPONDENT'S REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME	
15	MIKE KNOWLES, Acting Warden,		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	Respondent has requested a thirty-day extension of time, to and including April		
19	28, 2008, to file objections to findings and recommendations; however, the court's		
20	recommendation goes only to those claims of the petition for which the undersigned has		
21	recommended the writ be denied. Therefore, the court construes the request for an extension of		
22	time primarily to seek reconsideration by the district judge, pursuant to Local Rule 72-303, of the		
23	Order of the undersigned regarding the need for an evidentiary hearing re: whether insufficient		
24	evidence supports petitioner's conviction for DVI 02-2048 and his conviction for DVI 02-2048.		
25	The court hereby grants respondent an extension of time until April 28, 2008, to file a		
26	request for reconsideration of the Order filed on March 11, 2008, and to file any objections to the		
27	March 11, 2008, Findings and Recommendations.		
28	\\\\\		
	[Proposed] Order		

	Case 2:04-cv-02250-JAM-GGH Document 20 Filed 03/28/08 Page 2 of 2		
1	There will be no further extension of time. IT IS SO ORDERED.		
2			
3	Dated: 03/28/08 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
4	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
5	ul - 10050 · -		
6	rhod2250.po		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
2728			
20			
	[Proposed] Order		